arXiv:1701.01585v2 [math.AG] 23 Jan 2017

A Positivstellensatz for forms on the positive orthant

Claus Scheiderer and Colin Tan

Abstract. Let p be a nonconstant form in $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ with $p(1, \ldots, 1) > 0$. If p^m has strictly positive coefficients for some integer $m \geq 1$, we show that p^m has strictly positive coefficients for all sufficiently large m. More generally, for any such p, and any form q that is strictly positive on $(\mathbb{R}_+)^n \setminus \{0\}$, we show that the form $p^m q$ has strictly positive coefficients for all sufficiently large m. This result can be considered as a strict Positivstellensatz for forms relative to $(\mathbb{R}_+)^n \setminus \{0\}$. We give two proofs, one based on results of Handelman, the other on techniques from real algebra.

1. Introduction

Given polynomials $p, q \in \mathbb{R}[\mathrm{x}] := \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ where all the coefficients of p are nonnegative, Handelman [7] gave a necessary and sufficient condition (reproduced as Theorem 2.2 below) for there to exist a nonnegative integer m such that the coefficients of $p^m q$ are all nonnegative. In another paper [8], Handelman showed that, given a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{R}[\mathrm{x}]$ such that $p(1, \ldots, 1) > 0$, if the coefficients of p^m are all nonnegative for some $m > 0$, then the coefficients of p^m are all nonnegative for every sufficiently large m.

In the case where p is a form (i.e. a homogeneous polynomial), there is a stronger positivity condition that p may satisfy. If $p(x) = \sum_{|w|=d} a_w x^w \in \mathbb{R}[\mathrm{x}]$ is homogeneous of degree d (with $a_w \in \mathbb{R}$), we say that p *has strictly positive coefficients* if $a_w > 0$ for all $|w| = d$. Here we use standard multi-index notation, where an n-tuple $w = (w_1, \ldots, w_n)$ of nonnegative integers has length $|w| := w_1 + \cdots + w_n$ and $x^w = x_1^{w_1} x_2^{w_2} \cdots x_n^{w_n}$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 12D99; secondary 14P99, 26C99 .

Key words and phrases. Polynomials, positive coefficients .

In [4], Catlin-D'Angelo proved an isometric imbedding theorem for holomorphic bundles. The results in loc. cit. can be used to deduce the following theorem. Denote the closed positive orthant of real n-space by

$$\mathbb{R}^n_+ := \{x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \colon x_1, \ldots, x_n \geq 0\}.$$

Theorem 1.1. *Let $p \in \mathbb{R}[\mathsf{x}]$ be a nonconstant real form. The following are equivalent:*

(A) The form p^m has strictly positive coefficients for some odd $m \geq 1$.
(B) The form p^m has strictly positive coefficients for some $m \geq 1$, and $p(x) > 0$ at some point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$.
(C) For each real form $q \in \mathbb{R}[\mathsf{x}]$ strictly positive on $\mathbb{R}^n_+ \setminus \{0\}$, there exists a positive integer m_0 such that $p^m q$ has strictly positive coefficients for all $m \geq m_0$.

As the above statement involves only real polynomials, it is desirable to give a purely algebraic proof of Theorem 1.1, which we shall do below. We will in fact give two proofs of very different nature. Both are independent of Catlin-D'Angelo's proof in [4], which uses compactness of the Neumann operator on pseudoconvex domains of finite-type domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and an asymptotic expansion of the Bergman kernel function by Catlin [3]. We remark that in the case when $n = 2$, Theorem 1.1 follows from De Angelis' work in [5] and has been independently observed by Handelman [9].

Our first proof of Theorem 1.1 uses the criterion of Handelman [7] mentioned above. Our second proof reduces Theorem 1.1 to the archimedean local-global principle due to the first author, in a spirit similar to [14].

For a real form, having strictly positive coefficients is a certificate for being strictly positive on $\mathbb{R}^n_+ \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore Theorem 1.1 can be seen as a Positivstellensatz for forms q, relative to $\mathbb{R}^n_+ \setminus \{0\}$. In particular, the case where $p = x_1 + \cdots + x_n$ specializes to the classical Pólya Positivstellensatz [12] (reproduced in [10, pp. 57–60]). For any $n \geq 2$ and even $d \geq 4$, there are examples of degree-d n-ary real forms p with some negative coefficient that satisfy the equivalent conditions of Theorem 1.1 (see Example 5.3 below).

Acknowledgements. The second author would like to thank his PhD supervisor Professor Wing-Keung To for his continued guidance and support. We would also like to thank David Handelman for his answer on MathOverflow [9].

2. A theorem of Handelman

Let $p = \sum_{w\in\mathbb{Z}^n} c_w x^w \in \mathbb{R}[\mathsf{x},\mathsf{x}^{-1}] := \mathbb{R}[x_1,\dots,x_n,x_1^{-1},\dots,x_n^{-1}]$ be a Laurent polynomial. Following Handelman [7] we introduce the following terminology. The *Newton diagram* of p is the set $\mathrm{Log}(p) := \{w \in \mathbb{Z}^n : c_w \neq 0\}$. A subset F of $\mathrm{Log}(p)$ is a *relative face* of $\mathrm{Log}(p)$ if there exists a face K of the convex hull of $\mathrm{Log}(p)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $F = K \cap \mathrm{Log}(p)$. In particular, the subset $\mathrm{Log}(p)$ is itself a relative face of $\mathrm{Log}(p)$, called the *improper relative face*. Given a set $F \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$, an integer $k \geq 1$ and a point $z \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we write $kF + z := \{w^{(1)} + \cdots + w^{(k)} + z\colon w^{(1)},\dots,w^{(k)} \in F\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$. For a subset E of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and the above Laurent polynomial p we write $p_E := \sum_{w\in E} c_w x^w$.

Definition 2.1. Let $p \in \mathbb{R}[\mathsf{x},\mathsf{x}^{-1}]$ be a nonzero Laurent polynomial. Given a relative face F of $\mathrm{Log}(p)$ and a finite subset S of $\mathbb{Z}^n$, a *stratum of S with respect to F* is a nonempty subset $E \subseteq S$ such that

(i) there exist $k \geq 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $E \subseteq kF + z$; and
(ii) whenever $E \subseteq kF + z$ for some $z \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and some $k \geq 1$, it follows that $E = (kF + z) \cap S$.

A stratum E of S with respect to F is *dominant* if, in addition, the following holds:

(iii) If $E \subseteq (k\,\mathrm{Log}(p) + z) \setminus (kF + z)$ for some $k \geq 1$ and some $z \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, then $(kF + z) \cap S = \emptyset$.

Theorem 2.2 (Handelman [7, Theorem A])**.** *Let p and q be Laurent polynomials in $\mathbb{R}[\mathsf{x},\mathsf{x}^{-1}]$, where p has nonnegative coefficients. Then $p^m q$ has nonnegative coefficients for some positive integer m if, and only if, both the following conditions hold:*

(a) For each dominant stratum E of $\mathrm{Log}(q)$ with respect to the improper relative face $\mathrm{Log}(p)$, the polynomial q_E is strictly positive on the interior of $\mathbb{R}^n_+$.

(b) *For each proper relative face F of* $\mathrm{Log}(p)$*, and each dominant stratum E of* $\mathrm{Log}(q)$ *with respect to F, there exists a positive integer m such that $p_F^m q_E$ has nonnegative coefficients.*

Here, for a Laurent polynomial f, by "f has nonnegative coefficients", we mean that all coefficients of f are nonnegative. As observed in [7], the product of a suitable monomial with p_F (resp. f_E) is a Laurent polynomial involving fewer than n variables (when F is proper), so that the condition (b) is inductive.

3. **First proof of Theorem 1.1**

We fix an integer $n \geq 1$ and use the notation $\mathrm{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and $[n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Given $z \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and a subset J of $[n]$, let $z_J := (z_j)_{j \in J} \in \mathbb{Z}^J$ denote the corresponding truncation of z. For a nonnegative integer d, we write $(\mathbb{Z}_+^n)_d = \{w \in \mathbb{Z}^n \colon w_1 \geq 0, \ldots, w_n \geq 0, w_1 + \cdots + w_n = d\}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $p \in \mathbb{R}[\mathrm{x}]$ be a form of degree $d \geq 1$ with strictly positive coefficients. Let $e \geq 0$, and let $S \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}_+^n)_e$ be a nonempty subset.*

(a) *The relative faces of* $\mathrm{Log}(p)$ *are the sets $F_J := \{w \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^n)_d \colon w_J = 0\}$, where $J \subseteq [n]$ is a subset.*

(b) *Let $J \subseteq [n]$. For each stratum E of S with respect to F_J, there exists $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^J$ satisfying $|\beta| \leq e$ such that*

$$E \;=\; E_{J,\beta} \;:=\; \{w \in S \colon w_J = \beta\}$$

(c) *If $S = (\mathbb{Z}_+^n)_e$ and $\emptyset \neq J \subsetneq [n]$, the stratum $E_{J,\beta}$ of S with respect to F_J is dominant if and only if $\beta = 0$.*

In particular, $E = S$ is the only stratum of S with respect to the improper relative face $\mathrm{Log}(p)$ of $\mathrm{Log}(p)$, by (b). Note that this stratum is dominant for trivial reasons.

Proof. By assumption we have $\mathrm{Log}(p) = (\mathbb{Z}_+^n)_d$. Denote this set by F. Assertion (a) is clear. Note that $J = \emptyset$ resp. $J = [n]$ gives $F_J = F$ resp. $F_J = \emptyset$. To prove (b), fix a subset $J \subseteq [n]$, and let $E \subseteq S$ be a stratum of S with respect to F_J. So there exist $k \geq 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $E = (kF_J + z) \cap S$. By the particular shape of F we have

$$kF_J + z \;=\; \{w \in \mathbb{Z}^n \colon |w| = ke + |z|,\ w \geq z,\ w_J = z_J\},$$

where $w \geq z$ means $w_i \geq z_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Therefore $E \subseteq E_{J,\beta}$ with $\beta := z_J$. Note that $E_{J,\beta}$ can be nonempty only when $|\beta| \leq e$. The proof of (b) will be completed if we show that $E_{J,\beta} \subseteq lF_J + y$ holds for suitable $l \geq 1$ and $y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. To this end it suffices to observe that there exist $l \geq 1$ and $y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $ld \geq e$, $|y| = e - ld$, $y_J = \beta$ and $y_i \leq 0$ for $i \in [n] \setminus J$. These l and y will do the job.

It remains to prove (c), so assume now that $S = (\mathbb{Z}_+^n)_e$. Let $J \subsetneq [n]$ be a proper subset, and let $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^J$ be such that $E_{J,\beta}$ is nonempty (hence a stratum of S). First assume $\beta \neq 0$. There exist $k \geq 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $0 \leq z_J \leq \beta$ and $z_J \neq \beta$, such that $z_{[n]\setminus J} \leq 0$, and such that $|z| = e - kd$. Then $E_{J,\beta} \subseteq kF + z$ and $E_{J,\beta} \cap (kF_J + z) = \emptyset$. But there exists $w \in S$ with $w_J = z_J$, showing that $(kF_J + z) \cap S \neq \emptyset$, whence $E_{J,\beta}$ is not dominant. On the other hand, $E_{J,\beta}$ is easily seen to be dominant when $\beta = 0$. □

We now give a first proof of Theorem 1.1. The implications (A) ⇒ (B) and (C) ⇒ (A) are trivial. To prove (B) ⇒ (C), it suffices to show the following apparently weaker statement:

Lemma 3.2. *Given forms $f, g \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, where f is nonconstant with strictly positive coefficients and where g is strictly positive on $\mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus \{0\}$, there exists $l \geq 1$ such that $f^l g$ has nonnegative coefficients.*

Assuming that Lemma 3.2 has been shown, we can immediately state a stronger version of this lemma. Namely, under the same assumptions it follows that $f^l g$ actually has strictly positive coefficients for suitable $l \geq 1$. Indeed, choose a form g' with $\deg(g') = \deg(g)$ such that g' has strictly positive coefficients and the difference $h := g - g'$ is strictly positive on $\mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus \{0\}$, for instance $g' = c(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^{\deg(g)}$ with sufficiently small $c > 0$. Applying Lemma 3.2 to (f, h) instead of (f, g) gives $l \geq 1$ such that $f^l h$ has nonnegative coefficients. Since $f^l g'$ has strictly positive coefficients, the same is true for $f^l g = f^l g' + f^l h$.

Now assume that condition (B) of Theorem 1.1 holds. Then the form p is strictly positive on $\mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus \{0\}$. In order to prove (C), apply the strengthened version of Lemma 3.2 to $(f, g) = (p^m, p^i h)$ for $0 \leq i \leq m - 1$. This gives $l \geq 1$ such that $p^{lm+i} h$ has nonnegative coefficients for all $i \geq 0$, which is (C). So indeed it suffices to prove Lemma 3.2.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. The case $n = 1$ is trivial. Suppose that $n > 1$ and the above statement holds in less than n variables. Let $\deg(f) = d \geq 1$ and $\deg(g) = e$. As before, choose a form g' with $\deg(g') = e$ and with strictly positive coefficients such that $h := g - g'$ is strictly positive on $\mathbb{R}^n_+ \setminus \{0\}$. This can be done in such a way that $\mathrm{Log}(h) = (\mathbb{Z}^n_+)_e$, i.e. all coefficients of h are nonzero.

We shall verify that the pair (f, h) satisfies the conditions in Theorem 2.2. Since f has strictly positive coefficients, the only (dominant) stratum of $S = \mathrm{Log}(h)$ with respect to $F = \mathrm{Log}(f)$ is $E = S$, by Lemma 3.1. Thus $h_E = h$ is strictly positive on $\mathbb{R}^n_+ \setminus \{0\}$, so that condition (a) is satisfied. Next, let $J \subseteq [n]$ be a proper nonempty subset. Using the notation of Lemma 3.1, the only dominant stratum of $S = \mathrm{Log}(h) = (\mathbb{Z}^n_+)_e$ with respect to the proper relative face F_J of $F = \mathrm{Log}(f)$ is $E := E_{J,0} = \{w \in S \colon w_J = 0\}$, according to Lemma 3.1(c). Without loss of generality we may assume $J = \{r+1, \ldots, n\}$ for some $1 \leq r < n$, where J has cardinality $n - r$. Then h_E is a form in $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ that is strictly positive on $\mathbb{R}^r_+ \setminus \{0\}$, since $h_E(x_1, \ldots, x_r) = h(x_1, \ldots, x_r, 0, \ldots, 0) > 0$ for all $(x_1, \ldots, x_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r_+ \setminus \{0\}$. Moreover, f_{F_J} is a form in $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ with strictly positive coefficients. By the inductive hypothesis there exists $m \geq 1$ such that all coefficients of $(f_{F_J})^m h_E$ are nonnegative, which shows that (f, h) satisfies condition (b). Therefore, by Theorem 2.2, there exists $l \geq 1$ such that $f^l h$ has nonnegative coefficients. □

4. **Archimedean local-global principle for semirings**

Through various applications, the local-global principle for archimedean quadratic modules has proved to be a very useful tool, see for instance [13, 14, 15]. Here we provide a similar result for archimedean semirings. It will be essential for our second proof of Theorem 1.1 below.

Let A be a (commutative unital) ring, and let $T \subseteq A$ be a subsemiring of A, i.e. a subset containing 1 and closed under addition and multiplication. Recall that T is said to be *archimedean* if for any $f \in A$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n + f \in T$, i.e. if $T + \mathbb{Z} = A$. We recall the archimedean Positivstellensatz in a version for semirings (see e.g. [11] 5.4.4):

Theorem 4.1. *Let A be a ring containing $\frac{1}{n}$ for some integer $n > 1$, and let $T \subseteq A$ be an archimedean semiring. If $f \in A$ is such that $\varphi(f) > 0$ for every ring homomorphism $\varphi\colon A \to \mathbb{R}$, then $f \in T$.*

To state the local-global principle, we need to work with the real spectrum. The real spectrum $\mathrm{Sper}(A)$ of A (see e.g. [2] 7.1, [11] 2.4) can be defined as the set of all pairs $\alpha = (\mathfrak{p}, \leq)$ where $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal of A and $\leq$ is an ordering of the residue field of $\mathfrak{p}$. Given a semiring $T \subseteq A$, let $X_A(T) \subseteq \mathrm{Sper}(A)$ be the set of all $\alpha \in \mathrm{Sper}(A)$ such that $f \geq_\alpha 0$ for every $f \in T$. We say that $f \in A$ satisfies $f \geq 0$ (resp. $f > 0$) on $X_A(T)$ if $f \geq_\alpha 0$ (resp. $f >_\alpha 0$) for every $\alpha \in X_A(T)$. An analogue of the following theorem for quadratic modules was proved in [13] Thm. 2.8.

Theorem 4.2. (Archimedean local-global principle for semirings) *Let A be a ring containing $\frac{1}{n}$ for some integer $n > 1$, let $T \subseteq A$ be an archimedean semiring in A, and let $f \in A$. Assume that for any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of A there exists an element $s \in A \setminus \mathfrak{m}$ such that $s \geq 0$ on $X_A(T)$ and $sf \in T$. Then $f \in T$.*

Proof. There exists an integer $k \geq 1$ and elements $s_1, \ldots, s_k \in A$ with $\langle s_1, \ldots, s_k \rangle = \langle 1 \rangle$, and with $s_i f \in T$ and $s_i \geq 0$ on $X_A(T)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. By [13] Prop. 2.7 there exist $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in A$ with $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i s_i = 1$ and with $a_i > 0$ on $X_A(T)$ ($i = 1, \ldots, k$). Since T is archimedean, the last condition implies $a_i \in T$, by the Positivstellensatz 4.1. It follows that $f = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i (s_i f) \in T$. $\square$

5. **Second proof of Theorem 1.1**

As in the first proof, it suffices to prove Lemma 3.2. So let $f \in \mathbb{R}[\mathrm{x}] = \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a form of degree $\deg(f) = d \geq 1$ with strictly positive coefficients, say $f = \sum_{|\alpha|=d} c_\alpha x^\alpha$. Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}[\mathrm{x}]$ be the semiring consisting of all polynomials with nonnegative coefficients. We shall work with the ring

$$A \;=\; \left\{ \frac{p}{f^r} \colon r \geq 0,\ p \in \mathbb{R}[\mathrm{x}]_{dr} \right\}$$

of homogeneous fractions of degree zero, considered as a subring of the field $\mathbb{R}(\mathrm{x})$ of rational functions. Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ be the complement of the projective hypersurface $f = 0$. Then V is an affine algebraic variety over $\mathbb{R}$, with affine coordinate ring $\mathbb{R}[V] = A$. As a ring, A is generated by $\mathbb{R}$ and by the fractions $y_\alpha = \frac{x^\alpha}{g}$ where $|\alpha| = d$. Let T be the subsemiring of A

generated by $\mathbb{R}_+$ and by the y_α ($|\alpha| = d$). So the elements of T are precisely the fractions $\frac{p}{f^r}$, where $r \geq 0$ and $p \in S$ is homogeneous of degree dr.

The semiring T is archimedean, as follows from the identity $\sum_{|\alpha|=d} c_\alpha y_\alpha = 1$ and from $c_\alpha > 0$ for all α ([1] Lemma 1). First we prove Lemma 3.2 under an extra condition.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $f, g \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be forms where f is nonconstant with strictly positive coefficients and g is strictly positive on $\mathbb{R}^n_+ \setminus \{0\}$. If $\deg(f)$ divides $\deg(g)$, there exists $m \geq 1$ such that $f^m g$ has nonnegative coefficients.*

Proof. Suppose that r is a positive integer such that $\deg(g) = r \deg(f)$. Then the fraction $\frac{g}{f^r}$ lies in A and is strictly positive on $X_A(T)$, since g is positive on $\mathbb{R}^n_+$. Hence the archimedean Positivstellensatz (Theorem 4.1) gives $\frac{g}{f^r} \in T$, and clearing denominators we get the desired conclusion. □

Remark 5.2. When $\deg(f) = 1$, Lemma 5.1 is in fact Pólya's Positivstellensatz [12]. In this case, our proof above becomes essentially the same as the proof of [12] given by Berr and Wörmann in [1].

For the general case when $\deg(f)$ does not necessarily divide $\deg(g)$, we need a more refined argument as follows (similar to the approach in [14]).

Proof of Lemma 3.2. Fix integers $k \geq 0$, $r \geq 0$ such that $k + e = dr$, and consider the fraction $\varphi := \frac{x_1^k g}{f^r} \in A$. It suffices to show $\varphi \in T$. Indeed, this means that there are $s \geq 0$ and $p \in S$, homogeneous of degree ds, such that $\varphi = \frac{p}{f^s}$. We may assume $s \geq r$, then $f^{s-r} x_1^k g$ has nonnegative coefficients. Clearly this implies that $f^{s-r} g$ has nonnegative coefficients.

We prove $\varphi \in T$ by applying the local-global principle 4.2. So let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a maximal ideal of A. Then $\mathfrak{m}$ corresponds to a closed point z of the scheme V, and hence of $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. There exist real numbers $t_1, \ldots, t_n > 0$ such that the linear form $l = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i x_i$ does not vanish in z. Hence the element $\psi := \frac{l^d}{f}$ of A does not lie in $\mathfrak{m}$. On the other hand, $\psi > 0$ on $X_A(T)$, since l and f are strictly positive on $\mathbb{R}^n_+ \setminus \{0\}$.

By Lemma 5.1, applied to l and g, there exists an integer $N \geq 1$ for which $l^N g \in S$. Choose an integer $m \geq 1$ so large that $md \geq N$. Then

$$\psi^m \varphi = \frac{l^{md} x_1^k g}{f^{m+r}}$$

lies in T. From Theorem 4.2 we therefore deduce $\varphi \in T$, as desired. □

We conclude with an example, as promised in the introduction.

Example 5.3. For $n \geq 2$ and even $d = 2k \geq 4$, the form

$$p_\lambda = (x_1 + x_2)^{2k} - \lambda x_1^k x_2^k + \sum_{\substack{|w|=2k \\ w_i \neq 0 \text{ for some } i \geq 3}} x^w \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$$

of degree d satisfies the equivalent conditions of Theorem 1.1 and has a negative coefficient (of the monomial $x_1^k x_2^k$) whenever $\binom{2k}{k} < \lambda < 2^{2k-1}$. Indeed, it suffices to check the case when $n = 2$, in which case the verification follows similarly as in a result of D'Angelo-Varolin [6, Theorem 3].

References

[1] R. Berr, Th. Wörmann: Positive polynomials on compact sets. Manuscr. math. **104**, 135–143 (2001).

[2] J. Bochnak, M. Coste, M.-F. Roy: *Real Algebraic Geometry*. Erg. Math. Grenzgeb. (3) **36**, Springer, Berlin, 1998.

[3] D. Catlin: The Bergman kernel and a theorem of Tian. Analysis and geometry in several complex variables (Katata, 1997), 1–23, Trends Math., Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1999.

[4] D. Catlin, J. D'Angelo: An isometric imbedding theorem for holomorphic bundles. Math. Res. Lett. **6** (1999), 43–60.

[5] V. De Angelis: Asymptotic expansions and positivity of coefficients for large powers of analytic functions. Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **16** (2003), 1003–1025.

[6] J. D'Angelo, D. Varolin: Postivity conditions for Hermitian symmetric functions. Asian J. Math. **8** (2004), 215-232.

[7] D. Handelman: Deciding eventual positivity of polynomials. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **6** (1986), 342–350.

[8] D. Handelman: Polynomials with a positive power. In: *Symbolic dynamics and its applications*, P. Walters (ed.), Contemp. Math. **135**, AMS, Providence, RI, 1992, pp. 229–230.

[9] D. Handelman: Stability of real polynomials with positive coefficients. Answer on MathOverflow, URL (version: 2014-09-10): `http://mathoverflow.net/q/180475`.

[10] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, G. Pólya: *Inequalities*. 2nd ed., Camb. Univ. Press, 1952.

[11] M. Marshall: *Positive Polynomials and Sums of Squares*. Math. Surveys Monographs **146**, Am. Math. Soc., 2008.

[12] G. Pólya: Über positive Darstellung von Polynomen. Vierteljschr. Naturforsch. Ges. Zürich **73** (1928) 141–145, in Collected Papers **2** (1974), MIT Press, 309–313.

[13] C. Scheiderer: Sums of squares on real algebraic surfaces. Manuscr. math. **119**, 395–410 (2006).

[14] C. Scheiderer: A Positivstellensatz for projective real varieties. Manuscr. math. **138** (2012), 73–88.

[15] C. Scheiderer: Semidefinite representation for convex hulls of real algebraic curves. Preprint, arxiv:1208.3865.

[16] C. Scheiderer: An observation on positive definite forms. Preprint, arXiv:1602.03986.

Claus Scheiderer, Fachbereich Mathematik und Statistik, Universtät Konstanz, Konstanz 78457, Germany

E-mail address: claus.scheiderer@uni-konstanz.de

Colin Tan, Department of Statistics & Applied Probability, National University of Singapore, Block S16, 6 Science Drive 2, Singapore 117546

E-mail address: statwc@nus.edu.sg